

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,873	02/25/2004	Maciej J. Kieturakis	2506CIP3CON4(203-3450CIP3 3649		
7590 02/17/2006			EXAMINER		
Kimberly V. Perry, Esq.			DAWSON, GLENN K		
United States Surgical, a Divison of Tyco Healthcare Group LP			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBI		
150 Glover Avenue			3731		
Norwalk, CT 06856			DATE MAIL ED: 02/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/786,8	73	KIETURAKIS ET AL.	
		Examine	•	Art Unit	
		Glenn K.	Dawson	3731	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st eply received by the Office later than three months after the m d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no ev i. iriod will apply and w tatute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) 3 Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	This action is rowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>2-15</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>2,3,5-7,9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>4,8 and 10-15</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and an expense.	drawn from co			
• -	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examember The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) the drawing(s) I rrection is requir	oe held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·		
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed Certified copies of the priority documed S. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed Copies o	nents have bee nents have bee priority docum reau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)

Art Unit: 3731

Double Patenting

Page 2

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 2,3,5-7 and 9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 9 of U.S. Patent No. 5,772,680 in view of Moll, et al.-5,309,896.

The patent claims all of the claimed limitations with the exception of the opening in the handle, the balloon material, and the handle having a fluid-tight seal.

Moll discloses that it was known to provide an opening in a handle aligned with the bore of the tubular member. It would have been obvious to have provided an aligned opening in the handle in order to introduce instruments into the tubular member through the handle. Moll discloses that it was known to use an inelastic balloon in an instrument used to provide a working space in a body. It would have been obvious to have made the claimed balloon out of an inelastic material because it allows for the device to be tailored for a particular procedure and prevents over-expansion of the balloon. Moll discloses that it was known to provide a fluid-tight seal in a handle. It would have been

Art Unit: 3731

obvious to have provided the claimed handle with a fluid-tight seal in order to allow for introduction of instruments into the tubular body while preventing the possibility of escaping insufflation gases through the handle/port.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4,8 and 10-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12-01-2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The double patenting rejection has not been overcome because the TD was not entered. The TD was signed by an attorney not of official record in this application.

Therefore, the previous double patenting rejection has been renewed here.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K. Dawson whose telephone number is 571-272-4694. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Glenn K Dawson **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3731

Page 4

Gkd 14 February 2006